

ALAMEDA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE

Thursday, January 8, 2015
1:00 p.m.

Supervisor Richard Valle, Chair
Supervisor Scott Haggerty

Location: Board of Supervisors' Chambers – Room 512 – 5th Fl

County Administration Building
1221 Oak Street, Oakland, CA 94612

Summary/Action Minutes

I. Public Safety Realignment Year 4 Budget Update

[Attachment](#)

Alex Briscoe, Director, Health Care Services Agency and member of the Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCP EC), presented a PowerPoint presentation to update the Committee on the Year 4 budget for Public Safety Realignment.

The presentation included the goals set for Year 3 and the budget for the last three (3) years.

The AB 109 allocation for Year 4 totals \$34.4 million. Alameda County's share of the FY 2014/2015 base allocation is down \$3 million from the FY 2013/2014 base allocation. The growth formula was based two-thirds on performance and one-third on fiscal stabilization. The funding formula for the base moving forward is considered to be permanent.

Though the base formula is considered permanent, Alameda County's allowance will fluctuate from year to year, depending on sales tax receipts.

One time AB 1-09 funding unallocated:

The Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) recommends the \$2.9 million (FY 13/14) be budgeted as the Community Capacity Building Fund. The CCP recommends that the remaining two (2) one-time allocations be put in trust to resolve or reconcile delayed payments or utilization above projected levels in programs and services.

The CCP EC is not requesting a recommendation on the budget today however the CCP EC will consider the following recommendations for FY 2015/2016 allocation and after adoption will forward these recommendations to the Board of Supervisors:

- 1) Expedite the evaluation contract
- 2) Standardize reporting for all contractors and public sector recipients of funding, tied to the defined goals of the CCP
- 3) Deliver the FY 15/16 allocation recommendations in advance of Maintenance of Effort (MOE) approval
- 4) Develop a dashboard of all AB 109 programs and services that is reviewed at each CCP EC meeting.

Speakers

Charles Eddy, Urban Strategies Council requested that the current Innovations grantees could still be funded; issues with the budget; community presence for board retreat to discuss AB 109.

Speakers

Darris Young, Ella Baker Center for Human Rights, the budget that was presented is not much different than budgets over the last few years. The Sheriff's Office receives \$18 million of the budget and has not shown what he is doing better than other organizations. Mr. Young requested more accurate budget data and asked why is the housing portion of the budget being cut when it is a fundamental need.

Victor Blanco is currently in the Pathways to Resilience program and he encouraged the Board to keep funding the program.

Tyan Bowens is currently in the Pathways to Resilience program and stated that at the end of the program he will receive a certificate in Permaculture Design and requested that the Board continue to fund the program.

Karen Meredith, District Attorney's Office stated that other programs continue to be funded that work with populations that need assistance outside of the AB 109 population.

Purpose:

- Report progress
- Advocacy or Education
- Request Public Protection Committee Recommendation or Position
- Other:

Recommendation from Public Protection Committee: Supervisor Valle directed the Community Corrections Partnership to prepare to present information to the Board of Supervisors in retreat regarding the Public Safety Realignment Budget in addition to the following:

- 1) Each department receiving an allocation of AB 109 funds to provide information on how the allocation was spent; how many people were served and the outcomes
- 2) Why are there no established Policies and Procedures of the CCP EC?
- 3) An update on the Community Advisory Board (CAB)
- 4) The role of the Courts and Public Safety Realignment
- 5) Update on the Data and Evaluation RFP
- 6) Comparisons to other counties

PUBLIC COMMENT

None.