

ALAMEDA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE

Thursday, March 10, 2016

1:00 p.m.

Supervisor Richard Valle, Chair
Supervisor Scott Haggerty

Location: Board of Supervisors' Chambers – Room 512 – 5th Fl
County Administration Building
1221 Oak Street, Oakland, CA 94612

Summary/Action Minutes

I. Alameda County Sheriff's Office Strategic Plan

[Attachment](#)

Don Buchanan, Division Commander, Alameda County Sheriff's Office, presented a PowerPoint presentation on the Sheriff's Office Strategic Plan.

The Sheriff's Office Strategic Plan is a process that began with an assessment of current organizational issues. Staff examined pending trends, projected future scenarios, assessed the ability to cope with present and future challenges and prioritized the issues. An action plan has been developed which addresses the prioritized issues and establishes a defined path to achieve the Sheriff's Office desired vision.

The planning period determined based upon the group's discussion and identification of the primary issues which require our focus and attention, that a planning period of three years was most appropriate. This is a 24-month review of the three year plan.

A total of seventy-eight projects have been established as a result of the Strategic Plan, each with action items to evaluate the process and measure outcomes.

Purpose:

- Report progress
- Advocacy or Education
- Request Public Protection Committee Recommendation or Position
- Other:

This item was informational only and required no Committee action.

II. Coroner's Bureau Fees

[Attachment](#)

Riddick Bowers, Lieutenant, Alameda County Sheriff's Office, presented a proposal to increase the Body Removal Fee and the Private Autopsy Fee charged by the Coroner's Bureau.

The current fee charged by the Coroner's Bureau for Body Removal is \$254 and the proposed new fee is \$333. The current fee charged for a private autopsy by the Coroner's Bureau is \$2,000 and the proposed new fee is \$4,000.

Supervisor Valle asked for the breakdown of costs associated with each proposed increase.

Speakers

Charles Eddy asked if there is a way to help indigent families that are unable to pay the coroner’s fees.

Lt. Bowers stated that the Coroner’s Bureau has an indigent program to assist families that are unable to pay the coroner’s fees.

Purpose:

- Report progress
- Advocacy or Education
- Request Public Protection Committee Recommendation or Position**
- Other:

This item was continued to April 14, 2016.

III. Animal Control Service Fees

[Attachment](#)

Carol Stavert, Supervisor, Animal Control Unit, Alameda County Sheriff’s Office, presented a proposal to increase Animal Control Services fees.

The proposal fee increases are as follows:

Service	Fee	Proposed Fee	
Owner surrender	25.00 -45.00	35.00- 55.00	Increase in cost of vaccines, treat for parasites, evaluate & temperament test, food, vet check, medications, grooming, replacement of beds, blankets, toys
Live			
Cat or Dog	40.00	60.00	Increase in cost of gas (2006 average was \$2.67; 2014 average was \$4.00 per gallon), mileage, vehicle maintenance, travel time, and disposal fees
Euthanasia			Increase in cost of euthanasia drug, tranquilizer (both are now significantly less painful and more humane than previous drugs used) and disposal fees
Cat	30.00	40.00	
Dog, licensed	40.00	50.00	
Dog unlicensed	50.00	60.00	
Owner request transport			
Cat or Dog	40.00	60.00	Increased gas, vehicle maintenance, and disposal fees
Animal Fancier’s permit	50.00	100.00	Requires significant amount of time to inspect the property, send notices, interview the neighbors, provide extensions for compliance, data entry, process appeals/mediation, re-inspect for compliance. It is very time consuming.
Exotic animal permit	50.00	100.00	Requires significant amount of time to inspect the property, send notices, interview the neighbors, provide extensions for compliance, data entry, process appeals/mediation, re-inspect for compliance. It is very time consuming.
Licenses			Requires significant amount of time to inspect

1 year spayed	6.00	10.00	the property, send notices, interview the neighbors, provide extensions for compliance, data entry, process appeals/mediation, re-inspect for compliance. It is very time consuming.
1 year unspayed	12.00	20.00	
2 years spayed	9.00	15.00	
2 years unspayed	18.00	30.00	
3 years spayed	11.00	20.00	
3 years unspayed	22.00	40.00	

Purpose:

- Report progress
- Advocacy or Education
- Request Public Protection Committee Recommendation or Position**
- Other:

This item was continued to April 14, 2016.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Brandon Sturdivant, Oakland Community Organization, spoke about challenges in the criminal justice system. Last year community based organizations (CBO's) received fifty percent (50%) of the funding for AB 109 realignment programs. There should be a clear strategy for the AB 109 procurement process, as the RFP process has been slow. He would like to see the 50% funding to CBO's; a serious process for procurement, community input, easier collaborative process and pre-trial release and probation terms.

Darris Young, Ella Baker Center, stated that the County needs to do a better job of allocating funding for the AB 109 programs, expand services and implement pre-trial release programs.

Charles Eddy, Urban Strategies Council, is very concerned about the Board reaffirming their commitment to funding CBO's at 50% of the AB 109 realignment funding; the money is being procured slowly; more efficient procurement process; acknowledge that we should have a pre-trial release program;