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## Tonight's Meeting

- Existing County Procedures
- Options and Alternatives for Administering Design Review
- Next Steps


## Key Components of Design Review Process

$\checkmark$ Objectives-Desired outcomes
$\checkmark$ Applicability-Types or categories of projects that require design review
$\checkmark$ Design standards
$\checkmark$ Design guidelines

- Responsibility-Parties involved in process and their respective roles and responsibilities
- Process and procedures-When does design review occur? How are decisions made?


## Design Review Responsibilities

- Who are the authorities involved in design review?
- Staff
- Planning Commission
- Board of Zoning Adjustments
- Other?
- Assignment of responsibility by project type or category
- Alterations and additions-single family, multi-family, mixed-use
- New single-family homes
- Land divisions-parcel maps, tentative maps
- New multi-family and mixed use projects
- Role of respective authorities
- Review and recommend
- Decisions
- Appeals


## Design Review Process and Procedures

- Application and review
- Interdepartmental and procedural coordination
- Public notice and review
- Decision-making
- Enforcement


## Current Procedures

- Overview
- Issues
- Discussion


## Current Procedures

- Public Notice
- Sign posted on-site visible to passersby
- Mailed notice to owners and residents within 500 feet or 1,000 feet at Planning Director's discretion
- Responsibility
- Director conducts site development review, approves plans in consultation with county surveyor, building official, public works, other interested public agencies
- Application Requirements
- Preparation by licensed civil engineer, land surveyor, architect, landscape architect or registered building designer
- Contents:
- Lot dimensions in distance
- Location, size, height, and use of existing and proposed buildings
- Dimensions of yards and open spaces between buildings;
- Fences and walls-their location, height and materials;
- Parking and circulation--location, number, dimensions, ingress and egress, internal circulation, design, and improvements;
- Street dedications and improvements-existing, and proposed, if any;
- Other data Director requires to make the required findings.

APPLICATION PROCESS


## APPROVAL PROCESS

TYPES OF APPLICATIONS

REVIEW/
RECOMMENDATION *
RECOMMENDATION *

APPEALS


[^0]
## Proposed Multi-Track Design Review Process

- Exempt-Staff-level compliance review
- Construction not requiring building permit
- Interior alterations
- New construction on a lot with design review approval and determination of compliance with approved plans
- Alterations, additions, or construction subject to review by the Alameda County Parks, Recreation, and Historical Commission or other body
- Exempt signs and awnings
- Required landscaping under 2,500 sf required with alteration of existing structure
- Any project consistent with previous design review approval, ADA compliant or per other government requirements
- Anything else Director deems to have a negligible visible effect


## Proposed Multi-Track Design Review Process

- Track 1-Staff-level ministerial checklist review
- Minor additions/alterations not exceeding 1,000 square feet (500 in A District), or 10 percent increase in floor area, whichever is less.
- Remodel of exterior commercial façade.
- New accessory structure that does not exceed 400 square feet
- Single-family detached residential structure on a single lot, detached accessory unit on a lot with an existing single family detached structure, or attached duplex structure not exceeding 5,000 sf
- Modification of more than 2,500 square feet of landscaped area or any reduction in landscaped area of mixed-use or multi-family residential projects.
- Signs, awnings, and fences not eligible for exemption


## Proposed Multi-Track Design Review Process

- Track 2-Review by Director or in-house architectural consultant
- Any Track 1 project more than $50 \%$ taller or with FAR more than $50 \%$ greater than average of residential structures on abutting parcels
- Any residential or mixed-use project that exceeds Track 1 thresholds or "fails" checklist review
- Any Track 1 project that requires administrative CUP or parcel map



## Proposed Multi-Track Design Review Process

- Track 3-Review by Planning

Commission or other body to be determined

- Any residential or mixed-use project that exceeds Track 2 thresholds
- All tentative maps (except for condominium conversions with no physical alterations)
- Any project that requires a use permit or variance for construction and exceeds Track 2 thresholds
- All other applications subject to design review.



## Design Review Checklist

- Ministerial review of single-family detached structures on existing lots
- Projects must meet all zoning standards
- Points awarded for projects that exceed minimum standards
- Projects must achieve minimum points in each category and total score of at least 230 points for approval through checklist review process


## PROPOSED DESIGN REVIEW PROCESS FOR TRACK 2 AND 3 APPLICATIONS



## Options and Alternatives

- Pre-Application Review
- Preliminary review by advisory and/or decision-making bodies
- Issues
- Additional cost to applicant and County
- Public reluctance to devote time to review prior to "real" application
- Comments not binding on applicant or County
- Process available only for larger, more controversial, or more visible projects


## Options and Alternatives

- Process Informed by Design Professionals
- Create new positions requiring design expertise
- Staff consultant with design expertise
- Planning Commission/BZA design review sub-committee
- Amend ordinance to require some Commission/BZA members to have design expertise
- Issues
- Cost to County and/or applicant
- Recruiting Commission and BZA members with requisite experience
- No requirement for Commission or BZA members to live in unincorporated communities


## Options and Alternatives

- Enforcement
- Reviewing proposed plans for compliance with standards and guidelines
- Procedures for reviewing changes required or authorized on appeal
- Ensuring that projects are constructed in compliance with design approval
- Establishing systems for recording and tracking conditions of approval
- Issues
- Cost to County and/or applicant for additional plan check and inspection services
- Balancing design objectives with other County goals (e.g. fire safety, housing affordability, etc.) and political considerations


## Next Steps

Public Review
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[^0]:    * Castro Valley residential projects with more than 4 units and commercial development require CVMAC review and recommendation.

