

AGENDA ITEM REQUEST

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)

*Note: This agenda item request is due at least six (6) weeks prior to CCPEC meeting.
Email requests to ProbationCommunityPrograms@acgov.org.*

TO: Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)
c/o Alameda County Probation Department
Brian K. Ford, Acting Chief Probation Officer
1111 Jackson Street, P.O. Box 2059
Oakland, CA 94604-2059

FROM: **Name:** Daniel Scott
Title: Program Manager
Agency/Organization/Department: OHCC/HCSA
Address: 1900 Embarcadero, Suite 210, Oakland, CA 94606
Phone #: 510-670-6366
Email: Daniel.Scott@acgov.org

This agenda item is being submitted for consideration by the Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC) at their meeting on March 18, 2024.

Title/Subject/Description: Annual Allocation for AB 109 Funded Programs and Resources – Realignment Housing Program

Background Information: In 2014, the Alameda County Board of Supervisors dedicated 50% of the AB109-funding received from the state to local Community Based Organizations. That funding is used to contract with local CBOs that provide resources and programs that address a client’s risk and needs and promote safety for the community and success for the Participant. This item requires additional funding for Fiscal Year 2024-25 for continuity of services and resources.

Fiscal Impact*, if any: \$2,923,983

Abode Realignment Housing Program (RHP)	\$1,400,000
East Oakland Community Project (EOCP) Realignment Housing Program (RHP)	\$850,000
Men of Valor Academy (MOVA) Realignment Housing Program	\$415,188
Housing Program Subtotal	\$2,665,188
Office of Homeless Care and Coordination Administrative Costs	\$258,750
Total Request	\$2,923,983

Recommended action to be taken: Approve the annual allocation to continue funding this item for the realigned population.

Signature: 

Print Name and Title: Daniel Scott, Program Manager

Section 2: Request to Renew or Extend an Existing Contract

Contract Name: Abode Realignment Housing Program (RHP)

Information About the Program

- What part of the AB 109 population was served under the previous contract? (For example: unhoused individuals, clients disengaged from Probation Services, etc.)
 - Individuals who are unhoused or at risk of homelessness, who are AB 109 eligible and whose needs cannot be met by other Probation housing programs.
- What client needs were addressed? (For example: housing, employment, substance abuse etc.)
 - Housing—Rapid Rehousing (RRH), which is short-term housing support using a housing first model to quickly re-house program participants who are experiencing homelessness. RRH provides participants with housing-related support which includes housing identification, deposit, move-in and rental assistance, case management services and identification of additional resources to meet family needs. RHP also provides one-time financial assistance for clients needing help with obtaining furniture and household supplies, past due rent or utilities, or rent in emergency situations.
- How many people did your organization serve under the contract?
 - 98 in FY22-23 (7/1/22 – 6/30/23)
- How many people was your organization expected to serve under the contract?
 - 95 in FY22-23 (7/1/22 – 6/30/23)
- Please provide a summary of the program.
 - The Realignment Housing Program (RHP) offers services to support participants in locating, securing, and retaining longer-term, stable housing upon release from incarceration. The core components of the rapid re-housing program are housing identification, move-in and rental assistance, case management and referral and support services. Rapid re-housing provides temporary housing subsidies for these households. The program also offers temporary housing supports including emergency shelter, non-subsidy financial assistance to relatives who allow participants to live with them and, on rare occasions, short motel/hotel stays. RHP also provides one-time financial assistance for clients needing help with obtaining furniture and household supplies, past due rent or utilities, or rent in emergency situations.
- Please provide a list of the objectives achieved by the program/activity.
 - In addition to the 98 participants served during FY22-23, Abode’s RHP services assisted 94 family members, for a total of 192 individuals benefiting from the program.
 - A total of 128 participants and their family members moved into housing during FY22-23, with 45 stably housed.
 - Of the 126 participants and family members who exited the program, 77% exited into a positive housing situation.
- Did your organization invest any resources to make the program/activity successful? (For example: staffing, development of workshops etc.)
 - Ongoing staff training in a range of areas, including DEI, Critical Intervention, Motivational interviewing, strategies for efficient case management, client engagement, CPR, Housing and Quality Inspections, landlord engagement strategies, unit acquisition, tenancy rights and fair housing law.

- Abode has partnered with Goodwill to expand employment services for RHP participants. Participant can now be referred for job readiness training, financial literacy, job placement, and grant opportunities for education/certification programs.
- Did you do any outreach to the target population, outside of referrals by Probation? If so, what were the results of your outreach?
 - DPOs refer individuals to the ACPD RHP Coordinator, who screens the candidates and refers those who can benefit from the program to Abode. All referrals come from the ACPD RHP Coordinator.
- Describe how successfully your organization achieved your contract milestones and the other contract deliverables?
 - Abode served three more clients than the contract goal in FY22-23. No other milestones were included in the RHP contract.
 - Between July 1 and December 31, 2023, Abode served 62 participants and 59 family members (121 total)

Background Research

- Is the program/activity evidence based or a promising new idea?
 - RHP uses evidence-based models including those developed in conjunction with the National Alliance to End Homelessness Rapid Re-housing standards.
- If the program/activity is an evidence-based program, what does the research say about it?
 - According to the National Alliance to End Homelessness, research demonstrates that those who receive rapid re-housing assistance are homeless for shorter periods of time than those assisted with shelter or transitional housing. Rapid re-housing is also less expensive than other homeless interventions, such as shelter or transitional housing.
- If there is existing research, was the research done on a population similar to the population served?
 - No
- How do milestones/contract deliverables compare to the outcomes of similar work in other jurisdictions?
 - Unknown – data is not readily available
- Is Probation funding any similar activities?
 - Yes, EOCP, emergency shelters, transitional housing vendor pool, and Family Reunification contractors provide housing stipends for participants living with family.
- If Probation is funding similar activities, what is unique about this program/activity, why is it necessary?
 - RHP serves individuals for whom Probation’s other Transitional Housing Programs are not appropriate, including those with spouses and children, physical health needs, and sex offenders.
 - RHP rental assistance is tenant-based, with participants living in their own housing and not a Transitional Housing Program facility.
 - RHP goes beyond the help with basic needs that Probation’s other Transitional Housing Programs provide. The program offers flexible creative services to clients who don’t need ongoing rental assistance, including move in assistance such as payment of first month rent, security deposit, packing supplies, and purchase of furniture, cleaning supplies, kitchen ware etc. RHP also provides housing preservation services such as paying past due/back rent or utilities due to sudden change in participant circumstances.

- Lived experience can often provide a layer of knowledge often not captured by traditional research methods. Please provide any anecdotal knowledge based upon lived experiences that contributes to or strengthens your proposed program/activity.

Program Data

- How many people were referred to the program/activity by Probation?
 - 152 in FY22-23 (7/1/22 – 6/30/23)
- Why should the contract be extended/renewed rather than going out to bid?
 - This is the 12th renewal for the RHP project, the contractors have developed processes and systems to adequately support the referrals from the Probation department and there are no significant deficiencies in performance. The renewal contract starts on 7/1/24, to prevent a disruption in service we recommend approving funding for another contract cycle.
- Please provide program milestones and other contract deliverable data.
 - In addition to the 98 participants served during FY22-23, Abode’s RHP services assisted 94 family members, for a total of 192 individuals benefiting from the program.
 - A total of 128 participants and their family members moved into housing during FY22-23, with 45 considered stably housed.
 - Of the 126 participants and family members who exited the program in FY22-23, 77% exited into a positive housing situation.
- Has this contract been extended before? If so, how many times and why?
 - Alameda County contracted with Abode for the RHP pilot program in 2012. Its contract has been renewed every year since then because of its experience serving the population and satisfactory performance.

Fiscal Impact

- What is the total proposed budget for the requested program/activity?
 - \$1,400,000
- What was the total budget for the program/activity under the previous contract?
 - \$1,400,000
- If the proposed budget is higher than that of the previous contract, please justify the increase.
 - N/A
- If the proposed budget is lower than that of the previous contract, please explain.
 - N/A

Contract Name: East Oakland Community Project (EOCP) Realignment Housing Program (RHP)

Information About the Program

- What part of the AB 109 population was served under the previous contract? (For example: unhoused individuals, clients disengaged from Probation Services, etc.)
 - Individuals who are unhoused or at risk of homelessness, who are AB 109 eligible and whose needs cannot be met by other Probation housing programs.
- What client needs were addressed? (For example: housing, employment, substance abuse etc.)
 - Housing—Rapid Rehousing (RRH), which is short-term housing support using a housing first model to quickly re-house program participants who are experiencing homelessness. RRH provides participants with housing related support which includes housing identification,

deposit, move-in and rental assistance, case management services and identification of additional resources to meet family needs. RHP also provides one-time financial assistance for clients needing help with obtaining furniture and household supplies, past due rent or utilities, or rent in emergency situations.

- How many people did your organization serve under the contract?
 - 86 in FY22-23 (7/1/22 – 6/30/23)
- How many people was your organization expected to serve under the contract?
 - 95 in FY22-23 (7/1/22 – 6/30/23)
- Please provide a summary of the program.
 - RHP offers services to support participants in locating, securing, and retaining longer-term, stable housing upon release from incarceration. The core components of the rapid re-housing program are housing identification, move-in and rental assistance, case management and referral and support services. Rapid re-housing provides temporary housing subsidies for these households. The program also offers temporary housing supports including emergency shelter, transitional housing, non-subsidy financial assistance to relatives who allow participants to live with them and, on rare occasions, short motel/hotel stays.
- Please provide a list of the objectives achieved by the program/activity.
 - In addition to the 86 participants served during FY22-23, EOCP's RHP services assisted 17 family members, for a total of 103 individuals benefiting from the program.
 - A total of 70 participants and their family members moved into housing during FY22-23, with 52 considered stably housed.
 - Of the eight participants and family members who exited the program, 63% exited into a positive housing situation.
- Did your organization invest any resources to make the program/activity successful? (For example: staffing, development of workshops etc.)
 - No
- Did you do any outreach to the target population, outside of referrals by Probation? If so, what were the results of your outreach?
 - DPOs refer individuals to the ACPD RHP Coordinator, who screens the candidates and refers those who can benefit from the program to EOCP. All referrals come from the ACPD RHP Coordinator.
- Describe how successfully your organization achieved your contract milestones and the other contract deliverables?
 - EOCP served a total of 103 people in FY22-23, including 86 participants and 17 family members. During 2023 the agency had a change in leadership and in personnel. OHCC is working with this contract to increase the number of households served during 2024. No other milestones were included in the RHP contract.
 - Between July 1 and December 31, 2023, EOCP served 102 participants and 16 family members (118 total).

Background Research

- Is the program/activity evidence based or a promising new idea?
 - RHP uses evidence-based models including those developed in conjunction with the National Alliance to End Homelessness Rapid Re-housing standards.
- If the program/activity is an evidence-based program, what does the research say about it?

- According to the National Alliance to End Homelessness, research demonstrates that those who receive rapid re-housing assistance are homeless for shorter periods of time than those assisted with shelter or transitional housing. Rapid re-housing is also less expensive than other homeless interventions, such as shelter or transitional housing.
- If there is existing research, was the research done on a population similar to the population served?
 - No
- How do milestones/contract deliverables compare to the outcomes of similar work in other jurisdictions?
 - Unknown – data is not readily available
- Is Probation funding any similar activities?
 - Yes, Abode, emergency shelters, transitional housing vendor pool, and Family Reunification contractors provide housing stipends for participants living with family.
- If Probation is funding similar activities, what is unique about this program/activity, why is it necessary?
 - RHP serves individuals for whom Probation’s other Transitional Housing Programs are not appropriate, including those with spouses and children, physical health needs, and sex offenders.
 - RHP rental assistance is tenant-based, with participants living in their own housing and not a Transitional Housing Program facility.
 - RHP goes beyond the help with basic needs that Probation’s other Transitional Housing Programs provide. The program offers flexible creative services to clients who don’t need ongoing rental assistance, including move in assistance such as payment of first month rent, security deposit, packing supplies, and purchase of furniture, cleaning supplies, kitchen ware etc. RHP also provides housing preservation services such as paying past due/back rent or utilities due to sudden change in participant circumstances.
- Lived experience can often provide a layer of knowledge often not captured by traditional research methods. Please provide any anecdotal knowledge based upon lived experiences that contributes to or strengthens your proposed program/activity.

Program Data

- How many people were referred to the program/activity by Probation?
 - 77 in FY22-23 (7/1/22 – 6/30/23)
- Why should the contract be extended/renewed rather than going out to bid?
 - This is the 12th renewal for the RHP project, the contractors have developed processes and systems to adequately support the referrals from the Probation department and there are no significant deficiencies in performance. The renewal contract starts on 7/1/24, to prevent a disruption in service we recommend approving funding for another contract cycle.
- Please provide program milestones and other contract deliverable data.
 - In addition to the 86 participants served during FY22-23, EOCP’s RHP services assisted 17 family members, for a total of 103 individuals benefiting from the program.
 - A total of 70 participants and their family members moved into housing during FY22-23, with 52 considered stably housed.
 - Of the eight participants and family members who exited the program, 63% exited into a positive housing situation.
 - Between July 1 and December 31, 2023, EOCP served 102 participants and 16 family members (118 total)
 -

- Has this contract been extended before? If so, how many times and why?
 - Alameda County contracted with EOCP for the RHP pilot program in 2012. Its contract has been renewed every year since then because of its experience serving the population.

Fiscal Impact

- What is the total proposed budget for the requested program/activity?
 - \$850,000
- What was the total budget for the program/activity under the previous contract?
 - \$850,000
- If the proposed budget is higher than that of the previous contract, please justify the increase.
 - N/A
- If the proposed budget is lower than that of the previous contract, please explain.
 - N/A

Contract Name: Men of Valor Academy (MOVA) Realignment Housing Program

Information About the Program

- What part of the AB 109 population was served under the previous contract? (For example: unhoused individuals, clients disengaged from Probation Services, etc.)
 - Individuals who are unhoused or at risk of homelessness who are AB 109 eligible
- What client needs were addressed? (For example: housing, employment, substance abuse etc.)
 - Emergency shelter services along with wrap-around services that will reduce barriers to employment and increase employment opportunities
- How many people did your organization serve under the contract?
 - 269 in FY22-23 (7/1/22 – 6/30/23)
- How many people was your organization expected to serve under the contract?
 - 833 bed nights per month
- Please provide a summary of the program.
 - The objectives for this project are to provide comprehensive individualized intervention plans that are intended to result in living-wage employment, permanent housing, family reunification and resources for self-sufficiency. The program emphasizes restorative justice, and each participant is required to give back to the community via community volunteer work. Ultimately, the program will help enable individuals to work and contribute responsibly to their families and community. MOVA provides 22 emergency shelter beds 365 days a year, with 12 funded by Alameda County Social Services Agency. Participants receive assessment, case management, wrap-around services, mentorship, educational enhancement, vocational training, pre-employment readiness, job placement, employment retention, and permanent housing assistance.
- Please provide a list of the objectives achieved by the program/activity.
 - Of the 98 participants who exited the program during FY22-23, 23 moved into a positive housing situation.
- Did your organization invest any resources to make the program/activity successful? (For example: staffing, development of workshops etc.)
 - No

- Did you do any outreach to the target population, outside of referrals by Probation? If so, what were the results of your outreach?
 - DPOs refer individuals to the ACPD RHP Coordinator, who screens the candidates and refers those who can benefit from the program to MOVA. All referrals come from the ACPD RHP Coordinator.
- Describe how successfully your organization achieved your contract milestones and the other contract deliverables?
 - MOVA provided 10,538 bed nights for RHP participants in FY22-23, which far exceeds their contracted goal of 9,996 bed nights provided for the year (833/month x 12 months).

Background Research

- Is the program/activity evidence based or a promising new idea?
- If the program/activity is an evidence-based program, what does the research say about it?
- If there is existing research, was the research done on a population similar to the population served?
- How do milestones/contract deliverables compare to the outcomes of similar work in other jurisdictions?
 - Unknown – data is not readily available
- Is Probation funding any similar activities?
 - No
- If Probation is funding similar activities, what is unique about this program/activity, why is it necessary?
 - N/A
- Lived experience can often provide a layer of knowledge often not captured by traditional research methods. Please provide any anecdotal knowledge based upon lived experiences that contributes to or strengthens your proposed program/activity.

Program Data

- How many people were referred to the program/activity by Probation?
 - 56 in FY22-23 (7/1/22 – 6/30/23)
- Why should the contract be extended/renewed rather than going out to bid?
 - This is the 12th renewal for the RHP project, the contractors have developed processes and systems to adequately support the referrals from the Probation department and there are no significant deficiencies in performance. The renewal contract starts on 7/1/24, to prevent a disruption in service we recommend approving funding for another contract cycle.
- Please provide program milestones and other contract deliverable data.

OUTCOME MEASURE	GOAL	RESULT
1: % participants residing at MOVA at least 30 days	90%	59%
2: % participants residing at MOVA at least 60 days	85%	42%
3: % participants residing at MOVA at least 90 days	75%	36%

- Has this contract been extended before? If so, how many times and why?
 - Alameda County has contracted with MOVA for the RHP program since 2015. Its contract has been renewed every year since then because of its experience serving the population.

Fiscal Impact

- What is the total proposed budget for the requested program/activity?
 - \$415,188
- What was the total budget for the program/activity under the previous contract?
 - \$415,188
- If the proposed budget is higher than that of the previous contract, please justify the increase.
 - N/A
- If the proposed budget is lower than that of the previous contract, please explain.
 - N/A

Signature: 

Print Name and Title: Daniel Scott, Program Manager