## AGENDA ITEM REQUEST

## Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)

Note: This agenda item request is due at least six (6) weeks prior to CCPEC meeting. Email requests to ProbationCommunityPrograms@acgov.org.

TO: Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)
c/o Alameda County Probation Department
Marcus Dawal, Interim Chief Probation Officer
1111 Jackson Street, P.O. Box 2059
Oakland, CA 94604-2059

FROM: Name: __Natasha Paddock $\qquad$
Title: __Assistant Deputy Director $\qquad$
Agency/Organization/Department: __ACHCD $\qquad$
Address: _224 W. Winton, Room 108, Hayward, CA 94544
Phone \#: 510 514-2005 $\qquad$ Alternate Phone \#: $\qquad$
Email:_natasha.paddock@acgov.org

This agenda item is being submitted for consideration by the Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC) at their meeting on $\qquad$ 2/7/23 $\qquad$ , $\qquad$ .

Title/Subject/Description: Request for ongoing funding for the Realignment Housing Program (RHP)
Background Information: See below
Fiscal Impact*, if any $\$ 2,923,938$
Recommended action to be taken: Approve recommended funding

[^0]
## Signature

$\qquad$
$\qquad$ HCD Manager $\qquad$

## Section 1: Requesting Funding for a New Idea

## Addressed in the Logic Model

A logic model from the Programs and Services Workgroup may be attached in lieu of answering the following questions:

- What part of the AB 109 population do you propose to serve? (For example: unhoused individuals, clients disengaged from Probation services etc.)
- Which client needs are being addressed? (For example: housing, employment, substance abuse etc.)
- What are the objectives and benchmarks for success of the proposed program/activity?
- What are the resources and activities required by an organization to make the program successful? (For example: staffing, development of workshops etc.)
- How will Probation Officers inform clients about the program/activity?
- If referrals don't come from Probation, how will clients be informed of the program/activity?


## Background Research

- Is the initiative evidence-based or a promising new idea?
- If this is an evidence-based program, what does the research say about it?
- If there is existing research, was the research done on a population similar to the population the program anticipates serving?
- Is Probation funding any similar activities?
- If Probation is funding similar activities, what is unique about this program/activity, why is it necessary?
- Lived experience can often provide a layer of knowledge often not captured by traditional research methods. Please provide any anecdotal knowledge based upon lived experiences that contributes to or strengthens your proposed program/activity.


## Fiscal Impact

- What is the total proposed budget for this program/activity?


## Section 2: Request to Renew, Modify or Extend an Existing Contract

## Information About the Program

- What part of the AB 109 population was served under the previous contract? (For example: unhoused individuals, clients disengaged from Probation Services, etc.)
- Unhoused and at risk of homelessness that are on supervised probation.
- What client needs were addressed? (For example: housing, employment, substance abuse etc.)
- Housing—Rapid Rehousing and emergency shelter
- How many people did your organization serve under the contract?
- Abode-246 people
- EOCP-101 people
- MOVA-7,413 bed nights
- How many people was your organization expected to serve under the contract?
- Abode-95 people
- EOCP-180 people
- MOVA-833 bednights
- Please provide a summary of the program.
- The Realignment Housing Program provides rapid rehousing and other housing assistance (Abode/EOCP) and emergency shelter (MOVA). Rapid rehousing provides short term rental subsidies and other housing supports.
- Please provide a list of the objectives achieved by the program/activity.
- 347 People received rapid rehousing and housing assistance and 7,413 bed nights were provided in emergency shelter.
- Did your organization invest any resources to make the program/activity successful? (For example: staffing, development of workshops etc.)
- HCD acts as co-chair of the Housing Subcommittee
- Did you do any outreach to the target population, outside of referrals by Probation? If so, what were the results of your outreach?
- No
- Describe how successfully your organization achieved your contract milestones and the other contract deliverables?
- Due to the current cost of housing in the Bay Area and the effects of the pandemic, people are in the rapid rehousing part of the program longer than in years prior to the pandemic. This has caused the total number of people served in the program to be less than the benchmarks. There was also staffing shortages at EOCP, which impacted the number of people served.
- MOVA provided more than 7,000 bednights during the last contract, which exceeded their benchmark.


## Background Research

- Is the program/activity evidence based or a promising new idea?
- Rapid rehousing is a relatively new concept that was developed in the last few years.
- If the program/activity is an evidence-based program, what does the research say about it?
- N/A
- If there is existing research, was the research done on a population similar to the population served?
- N/A
- How do milestones/contract deliverables compare to the outcomes of similar work in other jurisdictions?
- Housing costs are extremely high in all areas of the Bay Area and many homeless providers are having difficulty finding affordable housing for clients.
- Is Probation funding any similar activities?
- Yes, emergency shelter
- If Probation is funding similar activities, what is unique about this program/activity, why is it necessary?
- Homelessness has risen $40 \%$ since 2019. The need for emergency shelter remains acute.
- Lived experience can often provide a layer of knowledge often not captured by traditional research methods. Please provide any anecdotal knowledge based upon lived experiences that contributes to or strengthens your proposed program/activity.


## Program Data

- How many people were referred to the program/activity by Probation?
- Abode-357
- EOCP-195
- MOVA-115
- Why should the contract be extended/renewed/modified rather than going out to bid?
- The current providers are housing reentry populations. Changing providers would cause a disruption in service provision.
- Please provide program milestones and other contract deliverable data.
- See above
- Has this contract been extended or adjusted before? If so, how many times and why?
- These contracts have been extended multiple times to assure ongoing services and to avoid a disruption of services that would be harmful to the clients involved.


## Fiscal Impact

- What is the total proposed budget for the requested program/activity?

| CBO Contracts - ES | $\$ 415,188$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| CBO Contracts - RRH | $\$ 2,250,000$ |
| CBO Total | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 6 6 5 , 1 8 8}$ |
| CDA Administrative Costs | $\$ 258,750$ |
| TOTALS | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 9 2 3 , 9 3 8}$ |

- What was the total budget for the program/activity under the previous contract?
- If the proposed budget is higher than that of the previous contract, please justify the increase.
- If the proposed budget is lower than that of the previous contract, please explain.
- Proposed budget is the same as last year.

Signature: 2/1/2023

Print Name and Title:


[^0]:    *When requesting funding, please answer the questions in either Section 1 or 2 below. If requesting funding for a new program idea, answer the questions in Section 1. If requesting funding for a program with an existing $A B$ 109-funded contract, answer the questions in section 2.

