

	Stated Objective	Identified Challenges	Potential Amendment	Argument for Continuing	Argument for Not Continuing
Overall Charge: Define and develop the plan for, implementation, and evaluation of, Realignment activities in Alameda County.		The scope of the work is large. What entity would be responsible for the monitoring the implementation.	Can we bring on someone who is able to the "connect the dots" for all of the Workgroup. Do we want to start with an evaluation.		This seems a bit unrealistic. Will workgroups listen to suggestions. It only takes a plan not how to implement it
Activity: Articulate CCP's goals for Realignment in Alameda County with measures of success	Common understanding of goals and intentions and how measured Successfully implement a data driven planning and decision making approach to Realignment implementation.	This seems to be the role of the CCP EC. Some of this is articulated in the Road to Re-Entry If we took this on, it would require the input from all the CCP. How do we measure success. Workgroups.	Examine if CCP EC is funding what the CCP states as their goals. (Is this their job.)		This is too vague
Proposed Charge: Identify key indicators and measures of the agreed upon outcomes and benchmarks for successful implementation of Realignment.	Common understanding of goals and intentions and how measured Successfully implement a data driven planning and decision making approach to Realignment implementation	This requires collaboration from other Workgroups and an agreement from the Data & Information Management Workgroup. There may or not be "agreed upon outcomes and benchmarks"			
Activity: Clearly define Realigned populations	Common understanding of who is being served		Potential expansion of the population are being considered.	A defined population already exists.	
Activity: Develop clear planning processes (and annual schedule)	Work takes place in efficient, effective, and transparent ways	Unclear about what this means – we are not in a position dictate what other CCP Committees and EC Workgroups do.			This is too unclear
Activity: Develop, facilitate and implement a comprehensive and independent evaluation plan of Realignment efforts in Alameda County	Ensure that the efforts and effectiveness of Realignment are evaluated.			The Workgroup has successfully assisted in crafting the RFP for ongoing evaluation of the government and the CBO expenditures.	Probation is now required follow a template when submitting the yearly update to the State.
Activity: Articulate the structure by which Realignment and reentry efforts in Alameda County will be coordinated	Coordinate reentry and Realignment activities in Alameda County		Develop a process for committee coordination.	Potentially we could articulate a structure. I am a bit unclear about what that means	Re-entry efforts are covered by the CCP
Activity: Define duties, responsibilities, and structure for Reentry/Realignment Coordinator and facilitate hiring.	Coordinate reentry and Realignment activities in Alameda County				The Re-Entry Coordinator is a County Job Classification, not in our scope of work, perhaps amendments can come from the CCP EC or Civil Service Commission.
Activity: Develop a proposed structure for community participation in the Realignment planning and implementation.	County has a structure for community participation in Realignment plan and budget allocations			This seems like an appropriate issue for the Process and Evaluation Workgroup	