

## **CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes**

### **Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)**

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

This CAPER submitted by Alameda County incorporates activities for both the Alameda County HOME Consortium for HOME activities and the Alameda County Urban County for CDBG activities.

Overall the Alameda County HOME Consortium has made progress in carrying out its Strategic Plan and Action Plan goals. The Alameda County HOME Consortium has an initiative to dedicate HOME funds to projects that are ready to go and have all other financing in place. This has worked to free up funds from jurisdictions that received an annual allocation but did not have a viable project each year. Two HOME projects completed construction –Olympia Place (Emeryville) and Parc55 (Fremont) resulting in 15 HOME units. Olympia Place was also funded by CDBG Affordable Housing Pool funds. San Leandro Senior (San Leandro) is nearing completion and Matsaya Village is a CHDO project that is pre-development.

Tenant-Based Rental Assistance programs continue to focus on moving people from homelessness into permanent housing and keeping people housed (and linked to school or job training) so that they do not become homeless.

The HOME program completed five homeowner rehabilitation (Pleasanton). The Alameda County Urban County CDBG Program focused its efforts in the rehabilitation of housing stock and disabled access completing 54 MHR. This program was suspended in March due to COVID-19 Shelter In-Place restrictions. Urban County-funded public services focused on senior meals programs, case management for developmentally disabled adults and for abused children. In March through June, attention shifted to assisting low-income families with food distribution due to economic uncertainty and job loss due to COVID-19. Neighborhood facilities improvements included rehabilitation of a transitional housing facility for formerly homeless families, purchasing and site improvements for six emergency housing units for homeless people, and completion of a food distribution warehouse that was later used to distribute emergency food to the unincorporated county areas in response to COVID-19 needs.

In the chart below, 5-Year Strategic Plan accomplishments are reflected. Note however, that the indicator selected in the Action Plan does not always match the indicator selected by IDIS for the CAPER report. Also please note that almost all programs suspended operations in March due to COVID-19 Shelter In-Place restrictions.

**Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)**

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Accessibility improvements	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	30	2455	8,183.33%	1500	2455	163.67%
Affordable Rental Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	70	0	0.00%	100	0	0.00%
Affordable Rental Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	30	0	0.00%			
Childcare facilities and services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	50	0	0.00%			

Childcare facilities and services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	55	0	0.00%	10	0	0.00%
Childcare facilities and services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	0	0		10	0	0.00%
Creation of Regional Housing Resource Centers	Homeless	ESG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	250	0	0.00%			
Crime Reduction	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	20	0	0.00%			
Economic development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	70	0	0.00%			
First Time Homebuyer	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	1	0	0.00%			
Increase availability of service-enriched housing	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	200	0	0.00%			

Increase availability of service-enriched housing	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	0		4	0	0.00%
Increase availability of service-enriched housing	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	0	0		20	0	0.00%
Increase in rate of exits to permanent housing	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	20	0	0.00%			
Infrastructure improvements	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	50	0	0.00%			
Neighborhood facilities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	50	0	0.00%			

Park and recreation facilities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	200	0	0.00%			
Preservation - Rental	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%	50	0	0.00%
Preservation - Owner	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	64	56	87.50%	100	56	56.00%
Prevent people on edge of being homelessness from	Homeless	CDBG: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	350	0	0.00%			
Prevent people on edge of being homelessness from	Homeless	CDBG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	200	0	0.00%			
Prevention of loss of permanent housing	Homeless	ESG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	20	0	0.00%			

Public facilities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	25	0	0.00%	100	0	0.00%
Public Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	10000	12161	121.61%	500	12161	2,432.20%
Public Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	0	0		0	0	
Public Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0		0	0	
Public Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	0	30		0	30	
Public Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	0	30		0	30	
Rapid Re-Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	200	0	0.00%			

Reduction of Housing Discrimination	Affordable Housing Public Housing	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	0		950	0	0.00%
Reduction of Housing Discrimination	Affordable Housing Public Housing	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	526		0	526	
Reduction of Housing Discrimination	Affordable Housing Public Housing	CDBG: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	4000	0	0.00%			
Reduction of time in unfit living environments	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	250	0	0.00%			
Section 108 Loan Repayment	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	100	0	0.00%	200	0	0.00%

Senior facilities and services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	200	0	0.00%			
Senior facilities and services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		75	0	0.00%
Veterans service-enriched housing	Public Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%			

**Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date**

**Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.**

The number one priority of the HOME Consortium is to increase the availability of affordable rental housing for extremely low income (30% Area Median Income (AMI)), very low income (50% AMI) and low income (80% AMI) households. There were 15 units completed this fiscal year; all for low income individuals and families: Olympia Place (Emeryville) and Parc55 (Fremont).

The second priority is to preserve existing affordable rental housing and ownership for households at or below 80% of AMI. Urban County CDBG funds were used to complete 54 minor home repairs. This program was suspended in March due to COVID-19 Shelter In-Place restrictions.



## CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	5,460	97	0
Black or African American	4,006	49	0
Asian	2,635	23	0
American Indian or American Native	107	1	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	277	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>12,485</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>0</b>
Hispanic	2,961	17	0
Not Hispanic	9,524	153	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

### Narrative

ESG programs were delayed getting into contract and then have COVID-19 restrictions so no data is available.

## CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

### Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	2,391,256	3,693,473
HOME	public - federal	3,283,970	2,421,731
ESG	public - federal	169,893	0

**Table 3 - Resources Made Available**

### Narrative

### Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Ashland, Unincorporated Alameda County		18	
Consortium-wide	54	38	
County-wide	3	3	
EDEN PROJECT AREA, CHERRYLAND SUB-AREA	43	3	
Urban County-wide		38	

**Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments**

### Narrative

The Urban County consists of the cities of Albany, Dublin, Emeryville, Newark, and Piedmont, and the Unincorporated County. Each jurisdiction in the Urban County receives an allocation of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds, which may be used for eligible activities, to benefit eligible households, and/or eligible areas within that jurisdiction. The CDBG funds are allocated according to a formula based on population data from the 2010 Census and the number of substandard units in each jurisdiction. Census tract for identified projects are as follows: Albany 4201-4206; Dublin 4501-4505; Emeryville 4251; Newark 4441& 4443; Piedmont 4262; Ashland CPD 4339 & 4340; Cherryland 4356 and Hayward (Unincorporated County) 4363. Areas of racial concentration (based on the 2010 Census information) within the Urban County are listed by census tract as follows: Albany 4204; Dublin 4501 (County Jail Facility); Castro Valley CPD 4301, 4305, & 4312; Fairview 4351.01, 4351.02, & 4363; Cherryland 4353; San Lorenzo 4357 & 4362; and Unincorporated County 4507.21.

## Leveraging

**Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.**

The Alameda County HOME Consortium counts match at the completion of the project. The chart below reflects the amount of match that was contributed by projects. These sources include the Consortium over match in addition to private grants and Alameda County Housing Trust Funds.

Parc55 leveraged \$11,823,629.

<b>Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match</b>	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	70,687,576
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	11,823,629
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	82,511,205
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	638,643
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	81,872,562

**Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report**

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
#1053	11/13/2019	0	0	0	0	0	11,823,629	11,823,629

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

**HOME MBE/WBE report**

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
175,768	354,498	237,120	137,626	293,146

Table 7 – Program Income

<b>Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period</b>						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
<b>Contracts</b>						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	526,626	0	0	0	0	526,626
<b>Sub-Contracts</b>						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
<b>Contracts</b>						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	526,626	0	526,626			
<b>Sub-Contracts</b>						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

**Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises**

<b>Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted</b>						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property**

<b>Relocation and Real Property Acquisition</b> – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition**

## CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	10	19
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	181	101
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	10	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>130</b>

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	50	110
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	106	15
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	45	5
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>130</b>

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

**Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.**

The Alameda County HOME Consortium under took an initiative four years ago to move HOME funds to projects that were ready to go and had all other financing in place. This has worked to free up funds from jurisdictions that received an annual allocation but did not have a viable project each year. Two HOME projects completed construction Olympia Place (Emeryville), Parc55 (Fremont) resulting in 15 HOME units. One HOME project is under construction -- San Leandro Senior (San Leandro). Olympia Place is also funded by CDBG Affordable Housing Pool funds.

Tenant-Base Rental assistance programs continue to focus on moving people from homelessness into permanent housing and keeping people housed so that they do not become homeless. The Consortium is on target for meeting its income objectives for these units as all are targeting either extremely low income or low income households.

**Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.**

The number one priority of the HOME Consortium is to increase the availability of affordable rental housing for extremely low income (30% Area Median Income (AMI), very low income (50% AMI) and low income (80% AMI) households. As stated above HOME funds used for this purpose resulted in 28 units being reflected as completed in IDIS with more units constructed but not yet completed to reflected in IDIS. The uncertainty over continuing federal funding for CDBG and HOME has severely impacted developers applying for these funds in Alameda County. The goals and objectives reflect the current market trends which have impacted unit production and rehabilitation completions. The A-1 Bond funds for Alameda County has and will result in more affordable housing units being produced in the next few years.

**Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.**

<b>Number of Households Served</b>	<b>CDBG Actual</b>	<b>HOME Actual</b>
Extremely Low-income	0	11
Low-income	2	12
Moderate-income	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>23</b>

**Table 13 – Number of Households Served**

**Narrative Information**



## **CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)**

**Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:**

### **Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs**

HOME Consortium jurisdictions continued their outreach to unsheltered persons in FY 19/20. Abode's mobile outreach team covers all of South and East County and works closely with the human services and law enforcement departments of the cities to identify and contact unsheltered persons. In the last program year, Abode's outreach team reached 813 persons, and helped 20 homeless persons find permanent housing. Street outreach worked with law enforcement in Fremont, Livermore, Hayward and Oakland to focus on chronically homeless persons whom law enforcement had identified as highly vulnerable and having particularly problematic street behavior. The City of Albany continued to fund the Berkeley Food and Housing Project to conduct outreach in its jurisdiction, serving 37 individuals including helping 27 to achieve positive housing outcomes with rapid rehousing. Building Futures with Women and Children to conduct street outreach through CES, serving 350 individuals. The City of Hayward continued funding the Alameda County Impact program, which provides outreach and housing services to individuals identified as "high users" of county and city emergency services. Making over 600 outreach contacts, the AC Impact program provided ongoing housing and services for 12 residents in FY 19/20, exceeding their goal of 10. The City also funds an economic development program, Downtown Streets Team, that provides job training and work experience in conjunction with housing services for individuals experiencing homelessness. The Downtown Streets Team partners individuals experiencing homelessness with work opportunities while providing education, employment, and housing services. The program received an extension in FY 19/20 due to the COVID-19 pandemic, but at the end of the year they had served 37 individuals on the Work Experience Team.

### **Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons**

Year-round emergency shelter capacity within the HOME Consortium during FY 19/20 consisted of 79 beds for families with minor children and 235 beds for single adults. The overall transitional housing capacity in the HOME Consortium jurisdictions is lower than last fiscal year. It consists of 28 beds for families and 94 for unaccompanied adults. Of the 94 beds for adults, 71 of those are grant per diem beds restricted to Veterans. During the rainy season, warming station shelters operated in Alameda (30), Fremont (36 beds), Hayward (25 Beds), Livermore (25 beds), Castro Valley (50) and San Leandro (35 beds). Castro Valley Shelter added new capacity this year.

The City of Hayward leveraged CDBG funds along with State funds, the City's General Fund, and private donations to open a Housing Navigation Center in November 2019. The 45-bed Housing Navigation Center provides shelter and individualized housing services for individuals experiencing homelessness. Administered by Bay Area Community Services (BACS), staff conduct outreach in local encampments and

partner with law enforcement to identify individuals in need of services. Since its opening in November, 47 individuals have successfully exited the Navigation Center to permanent housing.

In addition, the County, with funding from the State's Project Roomkey program has made more than 1,000 hotel rooms available for homeless and at-risk households in response to COVID-19.

The majority of the Continuum of Care's homeless population continues to be unsheltered (79% as of the January 29, 2019 Point-In-Time Count). The strategy for meeting this need is twofold: 1) Move unsheltered people directly from the streets to permanent housing without a shelter stay. Abode's outreach program has had success in housing 3 times as many people from the streets as the prior year. 2) Try and resolve persons' homelessness more quickly, especially in transitional housing, so that each bed is used to support multiple people throughout the year. Unfortunately, lengths of stay have increased in both shelter and transitional housing. Time spent homeless has decreased from 208 days last year to 192 days this year.

**Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs**

The Continuum is working with a number of publicly funded institutions of care to ensure that persons are not discharged into homelessness. The Realignment Housing Program has housing specialists work with persons in the County jail on their housing needs prior to their release date and is funded by Alameda County Probation Department to rapidly rehouse those who are or could become homeless. It also participated actively in the Youth Transitions Planning partnership funded by Health and Human services (HHS) to ensure that no transitional age youth aging out of foster care exits to homelessness. The partnership works to coordinate the foster care and McKinney funded housing resources to ensure youth do not fall out of housing.

Alameda County is a "housing first" Continuum of Care. All funded programs prioritize finding clients permanent housing as quickly as possible without any clinical pre-conditions, such as sobriety, medication compliance or utilizing a shelter or transitional housing program first. We continue to expand Rapid Rehousing and Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) throughout the county. County-wide PSH went from 2,376 beds in 2019 to 2,753 in 2020. VASH vouchers increased from 35 in 2019 to 325 in 2020. Measure A1 funding has been committed to 46 projects and 1003 units targeted to homeless households within those projects.

For several years, the City of Hayward has used part of its HOME allocation to fund Project Independence, an Abode Services program that provides tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA) to emancipated transition-age youth (ages 18-24). The City's HOME funds provide rental subsidies to program participants, who also receive wrap-around services from Abode. In FY 1/20, the program served 27 households, for a total of 45 individuals, including the dependent children of program participants.

**Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again**

We are building a Housing Crisis Response System in Alameda County that prevents homelessness whenever possible, provides dignified homeless safety net services, and maintains people in permanent homes with ongoing subsidies and services. Coordinated Entry is the front door and central organizing feature of the Housing Crisis Response System. The purpose of Coordinated Entry is to quickly assess the needs of people in crisis, connect them to available support, and track the outcomes and performance of the system. Coordinated Entry provides a standard and transparent way for the Housing Crisis Response System to effectively identify people in Alameda County who are experiencing a housing crisis and assess their needs, then prioritize and match them to the most supportive services and housing programs for which they are eligible. To do this, EveryOne Home manages a Countywide By-Name-List, which is maintained in HMIS and governed by all applicable privacy and security policies. Coordinated entry is the Continuum's primary focus for bringing an end to chronic homelessness. According to the 2019 Point-In-Time Count, the number of persons experiencing chronic homelessness has increased from 1,652 in 2017 to 2,236 in 2019. That is an increase of 35% in total people even though the chronically homeless remain just under 30% of the overall homeless population. As of August 2018, all PSH units are filled using the Countywide By-Name-List (BNL). Eventually housing navigation, emergency shelter, transitional housing, rapid-rehousing, and tenancy sustaining services will also be resources matched to the highest priority household based on need, length of time homeless, and other vulnerability factors.

Operation Vets Home is the collaborative continuum-wide effort to bring an end to veteran homelessness. Members consist of VA staff, veteran housing providers, and CoC staff. The group worked a By-Name-List of homeless veterans since the fall of 2015. As of September 2020, the BNL for homeless veterans stands at 548 individuals. Vets remain under 10% of the total homeless population, but the numbers grew in proportion to the overall growth in numbers. The number of chronically homeless vets is greater than last year even with increased VASH vouchers.

The HOME Consortium has also expanded resources for families experiencing homelessness and seen the number of homeless families drop by 63% from 462 in 2013, to 324 in 2015, to 270 in the 2017, then to 170 in the 2019 Point-In-Time Count. While families make up only 6% of the Point-In-Time Count, they make up 22% of homeless people served by the housing crisis response system over the course of the year. This difference indicate that families stays in homelessness may be shorter than unaccompanied adults and that our system resources are better matched to the needs of families. Providers within the Consortium have also been awarded funds to rehouse homeless families on TANF using money from the State of California award to the County Social Service Agency. ESG funds and County general funds are also assisting families.

## **CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)**

### **Actions taken to address the needs of public housing**

The Alameda County HOME Consortium has three Public Housing Agencies, the Housing Authority of the County of Alameda, the City of Alameda Housing Authority and the City of Livermore Housing Authority. Actions taken by the City of Alameda Housing Authority and the City of Livermore Housing Authority are being reported in their respective jurisdictional CAPERS.

The Housing Authority of the County of Alameda (HACA) has no public housing, having converted its 230 former public housing units to project based vouchers under either the Section 18 program or the RAD Program.

### **Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership**

Not applicable - HACA has no more public housing.

### **Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs**

Not applicable - HACA is not a troubled PHA.

## **CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)**

**Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)**

*Current Market* - There are a number of barriers to providing affordable housing in the Urban County, based on both real estate market and non-market related factors. Rents have rapidly risen over the past four years, due to a lack of affordable alternatives. The construction of affordable rental projects has not met the demand covid-19 has slowed the rate of construction considerably. Housing purchase prices are increasing in the Bay Area. The high cost and limited availability of land in many parts of the Urban County contribute to high development costs, which make affordable housing project financial feasibility more difficult to attain. Construction costs remain high. Affordable housing developments generally require multiple funding sources from public and private sources. The availability of public financing sources has become increasingly competitive over the past few years, and has been severely restricted at the State and Federal levels, which also serves as a barrier to the development of affordable housing.

*Land Use Controls and Building Requirements* - Urban County jurisdictions have implemented various land use policies to assist in lowering development costs for affordable housing and continue to work through the local County and city lobbyists each year to support legislation that will assist in increasing the opportunities for affordable housing. All jurisdictions completed an update to the Housing Element sections of their General Plans, and as a result some have modified their housing policies.

In Alameda County there is additional development pressure on the limited vacant or underutilized parcels within the urban limit-lines in the County. This has added to the increasing prices for these parcels, further increasing the development costs. The increased competition for sites also makes it more difficult for nonprofit developers to obtain sites, regardless of price.

*Public Policy* - Neighborhood opposition is often raised under issues such as environmental issues and, parking and school impacts. The Urban County and Alameda County support efforts to educate the community on the value and benefits of affordable housing. One such effort is East Bay Housing Week undertaken by East Bay Housing Organizations (EBHO), a membership organization representing more than 100 developers, architects, tenants, and others involved with affordable housing. This week is designed to educate the public of the facts of affordable housing, increase the number of supporters, and eliminate or minimize community opposition before it starts.

**Non-Governmental Constraints - Price of Land** - Land costs are rising in the Urban County as the amount of vacant, developable land decreases and due to a very competitive market. This is particularly true for the Urban County cities of Albany, Dublin, Emeryville, Piedmont, and Newark.

*Cost of Construction* - Construction costs have remained high in the past year. These costs are high

throughout Alameda County including within the Urban County.

### **Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

Alameda County HCD and the Urban County member cities continue to be involved in efforts to assist homeless and special need populations through the provision of additional services and housing. Outside of administering funds that provide supportive housing, staff members are involved in a number of local and national policy forums to ensure that current and potential future funding for these populations remains in place. We continue to seek funds that will add to the County's supply of homeless services and supportive housing opportunities and the jurisdictions continue to work with homeless and special need providers to find resources and meet needs.

### **Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

The Alameda County Healthy Homes Department of the Alameda County Community Development Agency plays a primary role in addressing the prevention and treatment of lead poisoning county-wide. Its lead hazard reduction program is focused within the County Services Area (CSA), the special district which funds lead poisoning prevention efforts in the cities of Alameda, Berkeley, Emeryville, and Oakland. The City of Emeryville is the only Urban County City within the CSA at this time.

The City of Emeryville receives more than \$20,000 in services annually as a participant in the County Service Area. This is equivalent to the total amount of special service fees paid by Emeryville property owners of pre-1978 residential housing units. Services include homeowner presentations, trainings, unsafe lead work practice responses, technical assistance and advisements, as well as case management of lead poisoned children.

During fiscal year **2019/2020**, The Healthy Homes Department provided the following services in the City of Emeryville:

- **Four** technical assistance consultations and advisement on lead and healthy housing.
- Provided literature at the **Anna Yates School, Watergate Apartments, Golden Gate Library**
- Staffed **two** outdoor events at the Emeryville Block Party and Anna Yates PTO.
- **Two** mailings promoting lead poisoning prevention services.
- Information line, Facebook page and website for a myriad of education services.

The Healthy Homes Department also conducts lead safety and healthy homes trainings, lead risk assessments, healthy homes assessments, inspections and hazard reduction. Whenever the presence of lead hazards are noted, or when intact lead based paint will be disturbed, only lead certified contractors and professionals will be called to mitigate the lead hazard. Upon completion of construction projects that are noted or assumed to have lead based paint, clearance tests are conducted to ensure that lead levels in dust and soil, if any, are minimal and under the levels that HUD prescribes.

### **Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

COVID-19 has increased the number of people in poverty dramatically due to job loss with shelter in-place requirements. Crisis poverty is a recurring condition in Alameda County, including people who are at-risk of homelessness, or who are currently homeless in Alameda County. Families in poverty have difficulty in securing housing in the absence of income supports and housing assistance. They also have other social service needs such as affordable child care, employment training, life skills and management counseling, and adequate transportation.

The Section 8 Programs administered by the Housing Authority of Alameda County (HACA), City of Alameda and City of Livermore Housing Authorities provide subsidies to enable lower income households to obtain affordable housing in the private market. The Family Self Sufficiency program operated by the housing authorities aims to keep families from falling into poverty and to reduce the number of families in poverty. HACA has experienced 96% average utilization of vouchers during the reporting period despite the tightening rental market in the entire Bay Area, including Alameda County.

Affordable housing programs such as the HOME Investment Partnership Program and Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) administered by Alameda County HCD provide funds for housing that will be affordable and allow lower income households to use a higher percentage of their income on other necessities besides rent.

Special needs housing programs such as Continuum of Care grants, HOPWA, and ESG, are coordinated with social service agencies and provide affordable supportive housing for individuals who are living in poverty. Services include self-sufficiency supports such as job training and placement and budget and life skills management.

### **Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

The strength of the housing and community development delivery systems is coordination of efforts between jurisdictions and groups that oversee these efforts on an inter-jurisdictional basis. Alameda County HCD is the lead agency in implementing the Urban County's housing and community development initiatives and coordinates actively with Urban County jurisdictions and organizations. The Alameda County Urban County Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meets bi-monthly to coordinate the delivery of housing and other services to lower income residents in the Urban County jurisdictions and to discuss programmatic and policy matters relating to the federal housing and community development funding sources used in these jurisdictions. The TAC is comprised of staff from the individual jurisdictions in the Urban County.

The Housing and Community Development Citizens Advisory Committee (HCDAC) meets bi-monthly to discuss programmatic and policy matters relating to the federal housing and community development funding sources used in the Urban County, and also provides citizen input on housing and community development policy and implementation within the Urban County. The Urban County also has a



representative attend the HOME Consortium meeting and report back on pertinent issues.

HCD, as lead agency of the Urban County and HOME Consortium, participates in several initiatives that gain their strength through public/private coordination. The County's Shelter Plus Care Program and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) Program are coordinated through participation of housing and services providers and agencies.

Most of the affordable housing development implemented through HCD is carried out through coordination with nonprofit housing developers, including Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs), in addition to private for-profit developers, service providers, and lenders; this coordination is a central element of HCD's role in the Urban County.

EveryOne Home is Alameda County's road map for ending homelessness. EveryOne Home focuses on the large, overlapping populations of people in different systems of care (homeless services and housing, AIDS services and housing, mental health services and housing) who frequently are on the streets or cycle in and out of housing. EveryOne Home is designed to end homelessness and keep extremely low income people with HIV/AIDS and/or chronic mental health problems housed. In FY19 EveryOne Home and its partners undertook numerous initiatives to implement the plan to assist homelessness in Alameda County.

**Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

A primary strength of the housing and community development delivery systems is the coordination of efforts between the jurisdictions and the groups that oversee these efforts on an inter-jurisdictional basis. An additional strength is the level of coordination between service providers, particularly those addressing housing needs of the homeless, special needs populations, and housing providers. Non-housing community development programs within the Urban County are involved in coordination between the Urban County jurisdictions and the agencies or organizations involved in the particular community development area.

**Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)**

Fair housing choice is protected under state and federal law. All government actions and policies are formulated and implemented so that fair housing law is upheld. Impediments to fair housing choice are defined as any actions, omissions, or decisions taken because of race, color, sex, disability, familial status or national origin that restrict housing choices or the availability of housing choice; or any actions, omissions or decisions that have this effect. This definition applies to both the private and public sector. The HOME Consortium Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing (AI) includes jurisdictional demographic information for each local jurisdiction in the Consortium, an evaluation of the jurisdictions' fair housing status, a description of public and private impediments to fair housing choice, an assessment of current

public and private fair housing programs, and conclusions and recommendations. The Analysis is compiled with input and contributions from each local jurisdiction in the Consortium, public housing authorities, fair housing organizations, and HUD's Pacific/Hawaii Region Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FHEO) Division. The Analysis of Impediments is produced at the Consortium level because constraints to fair housing choice occur both at the local and regional level, by both private and public sector policies and actions. In addition, the opportunities for eliminating impediments to fair housing choice are found at the local and regional level. Regular updates on fair housing issues and programs are presented at the meetings of the HOME Consortium Technical Advisory Committee, the Urban County Technical Advisory Committee, and the Housing and Community Development Advisory Committee. The HOME Consortium's Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) with specific actions for FY19 can be found with this link: [https://www.acgov.org/cda/hcd/documents/FinalAI\\_Combined\\_1-10-19.pdf](https://www.acgov.org/cda/hcd/documents/FinalAI_Combined_1-10-19.pdf). Work was completed in January 2020 on the AI for the next Consolidated Plan cycle.

## **CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230**

**Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements**

During FY19, Alameda County HCD, as lead agency of the Urban County and HOME Consortium, continued its program of monitoring Urban County cities' compliance with CDBG Program guidelines, providing technical assistance to Urban County cities, and ensuring that program documentation was in compliance with federal CDBG regulations and other related statutes and regulations. The Urban County prepared its FY20-24 Consolidated Plan and FY20 Action Plan as part of the Alameda County HOME Consortium. The FY20-24 Consolidated Plan and FY20 Action Plan for the Consortium was submitted in July 2020 to HUD for approval. HUD approval is still pending due to the late submission date. HCD monitors all its grantees for compliance under CDBG, ESG, HOME, and HOPWA programs. In addition, HCD monitors subrecipient jurisdictions in the HOME Consortium for compliance with HOME regulations and in the Urban County for compliance with CDBG regulations. This year no HCD monitoring took place due to COVID-19 Shelter In-Place restrictions.

The City of Alameda completed monitorings at 8 HOME projects: Breakers at Bayport, Eagle and Everett, Dignity Commons, Littlejohn Commons, New Life Gardens, Park Alameda, Shinsei Gardens and Sprit of Hope. The City of Livermore monitored 3 HOME projects: Bluebell Drive, Los Posadas and Vandenburg Villas.

Housing that is constructed or rehabilitated with HOME funds must meet all applicable local codes, rehabilitation standards, ordinances, and zoning ordinances at the time of project completion. The HOME Consortium utilizes local Building Inspectors for on-site inspection to determine whether the property and HOME units are properly constructed at project completion. These projects are then re-inspected after one year to see if the property continues to meet local building habitability standards. For each inspection visit, the jurisdiction must determine how many HOME-assisted units must be inspected in the project (on-site items to be inspected include: site, building exterior, building systems, and common areas). The City of Alameda completed HOME unit inspections at six properties: 1416 Sherman Street, Dignity Commons, New Life Gardens, Shinei Gardnes, Spirit of Hope and Park Alameda.

## **Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)**

**Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to**

### **comment on performance reports.**

Each year the Alameda County Housing and Community Development Advisory Committee (HCDAC) convenes a public hearing concerning the CAPER. This year the HOME Technical Advisory Committee (HTAC) will hold the public hearing due to the change in submission date. Public Notices are placed on each jurisdiction's website. The meeting will be held via Zoom. See attachment for Official Notice.

The Public Hearing will be held at the HTAC meeting in October. The hearing is listed and noticed as a hearing in the agenda. HCD staff present the staff report regarding the CAPER. Committee members ask questions of staff; the public is then asked to make comments. Any comments are recorded in the meeting minutes and are also listed below.

There is a 5-day public review period, per the recent HUD COVID-19 Program waivers, for the draft version of the Alameda County HOME Consortium CAPER during October 13 - 19, 2020. The public hearing will be held on October 15, 2020 at 1:30 p.m. via Zoom. The Alameda County HOME Technical Advisory Committee (HTAC) will hold the public hearing. This is in accordance with the citizen participation requirements for the Consolidated Planning process set forth in each Consortium jurisdiction's *Citizen Participation Plan*. Additionally, several Consortium jurisdictions hold additional city-specific public hearings during the 5-day public review period. Those comments would be included in their respective CAPER.

## **CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)**

**Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.**

The Urban County made progress towards the priorities and objectives set forth in its FY19 Action Plan update to the Alameda County HOME Consortium Consolidated Plan. The Urban County was an entitlement recipient of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds during FY19, and received an allocation of HOME funds as a member of the Alameda County HOME Consortium. With these and other funding sources, the Urban County has been successful during FY19 in committing funds to affordable housing projects which served or will serve low, very low and extremely low income households, formerly homeless households, and special needs populations. Funds have also been committed to community development activities throughout the Urban County, which have served lower income households and forwarded the objectives of the FY19 Action Plan. CDBG funds have been successfully utilized to benefit lower income households. Most programs were able to adapt to Shelter in-place regulations to continue to serve clients or complete rehabilitation projects. Additional CARES Act funds were received for CDBG which were used for emergency food distribution and rental assistance

The Urban County did not meet the CDBG timeliness goals due to the COVID-19 Shelter In-Place requirements. Many agencies, cities and the HCD were transitioning to working from home during this period so normal invoicing processing was delayed. There is a plan in place to ensure that timely spenddown can take place in FY20 to meet the timeliness goal.

**Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?**

No

**[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.**

## **CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)**

### **Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations**

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

HCD monitors its projects based on HOME requirements. Annually, HCD collects an annual housing development compliance report from all projects, which includes submission of financial reports, tenant lists, information on leasing for the year, and information on any changes to their established fair marketing plan and annual audits. HCD also established a three-year site visit and inspection plan after the HOME Rule change. HCD online database tracks and records monitorings, inspections and compliance for management and reporting. See attached list for HOME projects that were monitored during FY19/20.

Fair Housing: To ensure that all of HCD's development partners are complying with fair housing laws, HCD takes the following steps: 1) As part of the RFP process, applicants must turn in a draft fair housing and marketing plan for HCD staff review prior to awarding the funds. 2) Prior to lease up, HCD staff require the submission of a final and completed plan. 3) Annually, HCD requires the submission of an annual compliance report from each housing development project that includes information on all vacancies for the year, and all marketing and leasing activities that have taken place.

### **Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)**

Affirmative marketing actions have been effective in the HOME-funded projects completed since the inception of the Alameda County HOME Consortium in 1992. Each jurisdiction ensures that owners, potential tenants and the public are informed regarding the procedures and requirements for rental and homebuyer projects (with five or more HOME units). Methods include utilizing the Equal Housing Opportunity logo or slogan when using the media, community contacts and in displaying fair housing posters. Procedures have been developed to be used by owners to inform and solicit applications from people in the housing market who are not likely to apply for the housing without special outreach. Examples included utilizing ads in foreign language newspapers, and outreach to housing authorities and local community groups in target neighborhoods.

### **Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics**

The HOME Consortium received \$354,498.18 in program income and used it on 5 activities to date. The

projects included: Five homeowner rehabilitation projects, which included four white homeowners, and one Asian homeowner; all are low income.

**Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)**

The HOME projects committed to during the period of this report include: three tenant-based rental assistance programs for low income people; housing rehabilitation for one low income homeowners, and one CHDO new construction housing development project for low income renters.

**CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)**

**ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps***

**For Paperwork Reduction Act**

**1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete**

**Basic Grant Information**

<b>Recipient Name</b>	ALAMEDA COUNTY
<b>Organizational DUNS Number</b>	021116418
<b>EIN/TIN Number</b>	946000501
<b>Identify the Field Office</b>	SAN FRANCISCO
<b>Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance</b>	Oakland/Alameda County CoC

**ESG Contact Name**

<b>Prefix</b>	Ms
<b>First Name</b>	Michelle
<b>Middle Name</b>	0
<b>Last Name</b>	Starratt
<b>Suffix</b>	0
<b>Title</b>	HCD Director

**ESG Contact Address**

<b>Street Address 1</b>	224 W Winton Ave Ste 108
<b>Street Address 2</b>	Room 108

**City** Hayward  
**State** CA  
**ZIP Code** 94544-  
**Phone Number** 5106705939  
**Extension** 0  
**Fax Number** 0  
**Email Address** michelle.starratt@acgov.org

**ESG Secondary Contact**

**Prefix** Ms  
**First Name** Suzanne  
**Last Name** Warner  
**Suffix** 0  
**Title** HCD Deputy Director  
**Phone Number** 5106705207  
**Extension** 0  
**Email Address** suzanne.warner@acgov.org

**2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete**

**Program Year Start Date** 07/01/2019  
**Program Year End Date** 06/30/2020

**3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient**

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** ALAMEDA COUNTY  
**City:** Oakland  
**State:** CA  
**Zip Code:** 94612, 4222  
**DUNS Number:** 021116418  
**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N  
**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Unit of Government  
**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 169893



## CR-65 - Persons Assisted

### 4. Persons Served

#### 4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

#### 4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

#### 4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 18 – Shelter Information



**4d. Street Outreach**

<b>Number of Persons in Households</b>	<b>Total</b>
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

**Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach**

**4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG**

<b>Number of Persons in Households</b>	<b>Total</b>
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

**Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG**

**5. Gender—Complete for All Activities**

	<b>Total</b>
Male	0
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

**Table 21 – Gender Information**

**6. Age—Complete for All Activities**

	<b>Total</b>
Under 18	0
18-24	0
25 and over	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

**Table 22 – Age Information**

**7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities**

**Number of Persons in Households**

<b>Subpopulation</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Total Persons Served – Prevention</b>	<b>Total Persons Served – RRH</b>	<b>Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters</b>
Veterans	0	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0
Elderly	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0
<b>Persons with Disabilities:</b>				
Severely Mentally Ill	0	0	0	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	0	0	0	0
Other Disability	0	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	0

**Table 23 – Special Population Served**

## CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

### 10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	0
Total Number of bed-nights provided	0
Capacity Utilization	0.00%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

### 11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

A competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) Process was held for the allocation of FY 2019

Emergency Shelter ESG funds. Per HUD, the allocation amount includes a designation of 40% of project funds towards Rapid Re-housing. ESG sub-recipients are also required to provide a dollar for dollar match for ESG funds. The Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) was issued on April 30, 2019, with applications due on May 28, 2019. The NOFA was sent to over 300 homeless-serving nonprofit organizations in Alameda County. No responses to the NOFA were received. A Request for Interest (RFI) was then sent to jurisdictions within the Urban County. The City of Dublin responded with a proposal to fund housing and homelessness services through Tri-Valley Haven for Women dba Tri-Valley Haven. The Tri-Valley Haven proposal was reviewed by staff and met ESG requirements.

Tri-Valley Haven operates a homeless shelter as well as family support services, food pantry, domestic violence shelter in addition to other comprehensive safety-net services in the Livermore, Dublin, and Pleasanton area. Tri Valley Haven’s executed contract covers the contract period of January 1, 2020 to August 31, 2021 utilizing FY 19 Urban County ESG funds. The contract includes the provision of emergency shelter activities, rapid rehousing, and homeless prevention for homeless individuals and people at imminent risk of homelessness. The shelter at Sojourner House is a 16-bed facility and is the only shelter in the Tri-Valley Area that accepts two-parent families, single fathers with children, and families with teenage boys. Case management is provided at the shelter, including a shelter addiction specialist funded by this contract. Rapid rehousing funding and a Housing Specialist are also included in the contract to assist families to obtain housing through first/last month’s rent, moving expenses, and rental assistance. Finally, funds are available for homelessness prevention and can be used for rental assistance and/or utility payments for families or individuals who are at risk of losing their housing and

becoming homeless.

Goals: 1) To provide emergency shelter activities a minimum of 50 individuals with a minimum of 5 chronically homeless individuals served. 2)To provide rapid re-housing services to a minimum of 7 Urban County families. 3) To provide homelessness prevention services to a minimum of 5 Urban County families.

No FY 2019 funds were expended by Tri-Valley Haven during the FY 2019 CAPER period of January 1, 2020 to June 30, 2020.

## CR-75 – Expenditures

### 11. Expenditures

#### 11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal Homelessness Prevention</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

#### 11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	20,584	66,564	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing</b>	<b>20,584</b>	<b>66,564</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

#### 11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Essential Services	0	0	0
Operations	80,000	80,000	0
Renovation	0	0	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>80,000</b>	<b>80,000</b>	<b>0</b>

**Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter**

**11d. Other Grant Expenditures**

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Street Outreach	0	0	0
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	11,299	11,884	12,742

**Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures**

**11e. Total ESG Grant Funds**

Total ESG Funds Expended	2017	2018	2019
	111,883	158,448	12,742

**Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended**

**11f. Match Source**

	2017	2018	2019
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	20,584	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	50,000	71,884	12,742



Private Funds	20,000	20,000	0
Other	20,000	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	20,000	0	0
<b>Total Match Amount</b>	<b>130,584</b>	<b>91,884</b>	<b>12,742</b>

**Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities**

**11g. Total**

<b>Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>
	242,467	250,332	25,484

**Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities**