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Alameda County Child Care Subsidies (Excluding Stage 1 and Head Start) Have Dropped $34 Million and 33% Since 2010
Alameda County Cumulative Child Care Cuts

- 12–13 total state contracts (not including Stage 1 and Head Start): $67,496,540
- 11–12 total state contracts (not including Stage 1 and Head Start): $89,752,969
- 10–11 total state contracts (not including Stage 1 and Head Start): $101,082,831
- Overall percentage loss of funding over two years: 33%
- The 12–13 amounts are not yet confirmed.
## State Budget Detail Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Action</th>
<th>State Savings</th>
<th>State Impact</th>
<th>County Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Across the board cuts for center-based child care for infants, toddlers, school age children and a special contract type that serves children with special needs</td>
<td>$ 80 million</td>
<td>10,600 slots</td>
<td>= $1,772,510 total cut  = 174 slots lost  CCTR cut=10.94%  CHAN cut=10.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large line-item veto for the non-CalWORKS Alternative Payment program. A smaller cut to Stage 2 CalWORKs child care and a small increase for Stage 3 CalWORKs.</td>
<td>$ 20 million Line-item veto</td>
<td>3,400 slots</td>
<td>=$2,276,508 total cut  =202 slots lost  CAPP cut=19.65%  C2AP cut=5.24%  C3AP increase=1.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line-item veto to “core” state preschool funding (includes $3.4 million generated in parent fees that state preschool will now have to collect)</td>
<td>$ 29,720,000 million Line-item veto</td>
<td>12,500 slots</td>
<td>= $4,914,966 total cut  =567 slots lost  CSPP cut=10.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No COLA for fiscal years 12-13,13-14 and 14-15 $30.4 million savings (eg not “cut”) this budget year, total estimated savings through 14-15 is $73 million</td>
<td>Not counted as a cut for Governor’s purposes</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminate supplemental reimbursement for Child Nutrition program</td>
<td>$ 10.1 million Line-item veto</td>
<td>This impacts non-profit child care programs</td>
<td>More than 500 local child care programs will have rates reduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LOSSES</strong></td>
<td><strong>$130 million ($140 million including nutrition veto)</strong></td>
<td><strong>26,500 slots</strong></td>
<td>Total Funding Lost: Approximately $8,963,914*  Total Slots Lost: Approximately 943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Current Total Slots
- 10,175

### New Totals
- $67,496,540*
- 9,232

*Stage 2 and 3, CAP, Title 5,*
Alameda County Election

Senate Feinstein vs. Emken
Congress
  13 Singleton vs. Barbara Lee
  15 Eric Swalwell vs. Pete Stark
  17 Li vs. Honda

Assembly
  15 Skinner vs. Ruyle
  16 Buchanan vs. Phillips
  18 Bonta vs. Guillen
  20 Ong vs. Quirk
  25 Diamond vs. Wieckowski
Alameda County Election

- County Board of Supervisors – District 2
- Local Offices
  - Chabot/Las Positas
  - Ohlone
  - Peralta
  - 13 school district members
  - Special districts
  - City Council (9), etc:
Local Measures

- D–Alameda parks
- F–Albany sales tax for services
- J–OUSD facility bonds; some CDC’s
- L –San Leandro School District $2.4 million

More information:
League of Women Voters
http://www.smartvoter.org/2012/11/06/ca/alm/city.html
Local Revenue Raising is Popular

- Statewide, eleven districts are asking for parcel taxes and 44 districts have put school construction bonds on the ballot. Six are new parcel tax requests, four are renewals, and one proposal asks for an additional parcel tax. They range from a low of $39 per parcel to $199 per parcel in the San Bruno Park School District.
New Polls

• Proposition 30: Governor’s Initiative
  54% to 52% yes now
  No’s: 40% or 36% (UCB)

• Proposition 38: Molly Munger’s
  46% to 45% yes
  No’s: 45%

Latino support ↓
Undecided ↑
How The Propositions Interact

What will happen to CA education funding?

Did Prop 30 pass?

- Yes → Did Prop 38 pass, too?
  - Yes → Which prop got more votes? (Prop 38)
  - No → Trigger Cuts

- No → Prop 30 goes into effect and education funding stays flat

Prop 30 goes into effect and education stays flat

Did Prop 30 pass, too?

- Yes → Which prop got more votes? (Prop 30)

- No → ???*

* Answers in green are determined by the courts, so this scenario is based on what many policy experts say is likely to happen.
Discretionary programs that women and their families depend on could be slashed dramatically, cutting jobs and services for millions. In 2013, sequestration would cut a total of $38.5 billion from non-defense discretionary programs – an 8.4 percent cut across-the-board.[2] Approximately 100,000 children would lose Head Start and 80,000 children would lose child care as a result.[3]