

| Row # | IJ Recommendation (with regards to) | Page Number | Original Text | Suggested Text or Issue | Submitted By |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|--|--|
| 2 Background & Process: Pg. 3 - 5 | | | | | |
| 4 | Adopt | 4 | This SB 823 Realignment Plan, if approved by the Board of Supervisors, shall be submitted to the Office of Youth and Community Restoration (OYCR) no later than January 1, 2022, and will remain in effect through April 30, 2022. The SB 823 Subcommittee will convene to review, revise, and update this document prior to the next required submission to the OYCR, which is on or before May 1, 2022. | This SB 823 Realignment Plan, if approved by the Board of Supervisors, shall be submitted to the Office of Youth and Community Restoration (OYCR) no later than January 1, 2022, and will remain in effect through April 30, 2023. The SB 823 Subcommittee will convene to review, revise, and update this document prior to the next required submission to the OYCR, which is on or before May 1, 2023. | ACPD |
| 5 | Adopt | 4 | It is the intent of this Subcommittee to remain regularly engaged in the SB 823 planning and to receive regular reports on implementation, convening monthly through April 2022 and at least quarterly thereafter. | It is the intent of this Subcommittee to remain regularly engaged in the SB 823 planning and to receive regular reports on implementation, convening quarterly following the submission of this Plan. | Impact Justice |
| 6 Defining the Target Population: Pg 6 - 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | Adopt | 6 | [Regarding "Defining the Target Population"] The section that follows provides an overview of the 21 youth currently committed to DJJ, with the earliest registered in 2014. Since the passage of Proposition 57, in 2016, there have been three youth transfers from Alameda County to the adult system. | The section that follows provides an overview of the 21 youth currently committed to DJJ, with the earliest registered in 2014. This population most nearly resembles the population of youth likely to be committed to the SYTF. The section also contains an overview of the (#) of youth with a sustained 707(b) offense who received a disposition other than a commitment to DJJ. Since the passage of Proposition 57, in 2016, there have been three youth transfers from Alameda County to the adult system. Together, these three groups makeup the target realignment population. However, the primary goal of this Plan is to define the Secure Placement Treatment Facility and the associated program. Thus, those committed to the Secure Track is the population of focus. If funding allows, future Plans may include the broader target population. Those youth with 707(b) offenses who are not committed to the Secure Track continue to be served by programs and facilities currently in place at the JJC and at Camp Sweeney. | Erin Palacios & Vamsey Palagummi; Revised by IJ in green |
| 9 Retaining the Target Population: Pg 7 - 8 | | | | | |
| 18 | Adopt | 12 | Suggest addition on pg 12, as the last paragraph under "Therapeutic Milieu", before "Culturally Responsive Programming" | The MDT shall consist of the assigned mental health clinician, Credible Messenger, JIO, DPO, and education representative. The MDT will work closely with the youth and their family to ensure that the Individualized Rehabilitation Plan meets the needs of the youth and supports their healthy development. The MDT will also consult regularly, as well as at the request of the youth, with the youth's defense team, and other relevant supports from the community. | Impact Justice, per requests to clarify the MDT membership; futher changes here in green, following the discussion on 10/7 |

| | | | | | |
|----|--------|----|---|---|--|
| 23 | Adopt | 14 | Suggested addition would be last paragraph before "Credible Messengers" | <p>One of the central benefits of juvenile justice realignment is that youth will remain close to their homes and communities. Using the most expansive definition of family, ACPD will engage and support youth in establishing the most connected relationships possible. It will further support youth's relationships through deep partnerships with contracted, community-based providers and volunteers at all phases of a youth's contact with the system. For youth with 707(b) adjudications who are not committed to the SYTF ACPD will contract with and engage community providers and volunteers to serve youth in other settings or in the community, ensure access to the mentorship program described above, and provide robust family engagement and support. At every phase, and in every setting, these services will be delivered with goal of maintaining the youth in the least restrictive appropriate environment as intended by SB 823.</p> | <p>Erin Palacios & Vamsey Palagummi; Revised by IJ to delete referencing 707(b) youth not committed to secure track per discussion on 10/7; See also Row 8 above</p> |
| 27 | Adopt | 14 | Suggested addition to last paragraph of "Gender Responsive Approach" | <p>Prior to housing Alameda County girls in any out-of-county facility, Alameda County shall ensure that the facility provides a therapeutic model that is in line with the County's own program, and that the facility adheres to the same minimums is committed to quality standards of care, treatment, and honoring of children's rights as the County's own program. ACPD will work with credible messengers, the Court, Public Defender, District Attorney and others to discuss and develop practices for progress review hearings that aim to avoid the demoralization, frustration, and anger youth reported</p> | <p>Erin Palacios & Vamsey Palagummi; Revised by ACPD with strikethroughs and added language in red</p> |
| 35 | Reject | 21 | [Suggested addition would be last paragraph before "Specialized Treatment"] | <p>experiencing at parole hearings.</p> | <p>Erin Palacios & Vamsey Palagummi</p> |