

Alameda County SB823 Subcommittee Meeting Agenda

9.23.21

12:30pm - 2:30pm

Virtual Meeting Information Below

[Teams Link: Computer or Mobile](#)

Or call in (audio only)

+1 415-915-3950,,913352370#<tel:+14159153950,,913352370#> United States, San Francisco

Phone Conference ID: 913 352 370#

[Find a Local Number](#)

[Meeting Options](#)

SB 823 Subcommittee Members in Attendance:

Interim Chief Marcus Dawal, ACPD

Alphonso Mance, PD

Hon. Ursula Jones Dickson

Monica Vaughan, ACOE

Michelle Love, ACSS

Andrea Zambrana, Conflict Counsel

Sgt. Young, OPD

Vamsey Palagummi, JJDPC

Emily Young, DPN

Hayden Renato, FOK

Davida Scott, District 2 Representative

Erin Palacios, District 3 Representative

Trevor Arceneaux, District 4 Representative

Kelly Thompson, District 5 Representative

1. Call to Order & Roll Call
 - a. Called to order at 12:30 pm by Interim Chief Dawal
 - b. Roll Call taken by Adrienne Chambers
 - c. Interim Chief Dawal, ACPD - A letter has been drafted for the BOS to update the SB 823 subcommittee list and to include designees.
2. Approval of Minutes
 - a. 9.9.21
 - i. Majority approved
 - ii. Two abstentions
3. Updates from Interim Chief Dawal
 - a. Interim Chief Dawal, ACPD - Thank you to everyone for the time they've put into the draft plan. Probation is committed to moving towards the vision the workgroups have laid out; however probation has to acknowledge the challenge we have to balance with labor contracts, working conditions for staff, the expectations of the Juvenile Court, and the communities' visions for reimagining the juvenile justice system. Our edits and recommended changes to the draft plan are not because we are opposed to wanting to do something new and or better; they're a reflection of ACPD trying to balance the varying interests.

4. Review of timeline & updates

a. Dani Soto, Impact Justice

- i. Please review the SB 823 Subcommittee timeline.
- ii. Action item votes will be taken on October 30th
- iii. The final vote will be held on October 7th
- iv. Dissenting votes will be noted
- v. Interim Chief Dawal, ACPD - Oct. 7th meeting will be in person
- vi. Scott Dickey, County Counsel - The BOS is considering accepting a recommendation from the HSA director to continue social distancing at board meetings and board committee meetings, that will allow the board to continue to meet remotely until the state of emergency is lifted. The SB 823 subcommittee can choose to continue to meet remotely or in person.
 1. Interim Chief Dawal, ACPD - We will address this as a subcommittee on September 30th.
- vii. Erin Palacios, District 3 Representative - Agendize it for the specific finding in the new law.
 1. Scott Dickey, County Counsel - The general recommendation that we are going to be giving is that committees in the county can make a motion to adopt the process that the board approves.
- viii. Vamsey Palagummi, JJDPC - What is the process for going through the draft plan today.
- ix. Dani Soto, Impact Justice - My hope is to do the bulk of edits today
- x. Monica Vaughan, ACOE - I suggest we look at the points of disagreements and as a subcommittee vote on which point we agree with, as opposed to reading and editing multiple documents.
- xi. Interim Chief Dawal, ACPD - If workgroups are going to submit edits and points of contention I suggest that be done by EOD tomorrow and ACPD can share our edits and points of contention so those can be put into one document.
- xii. Erin Palacios, District 3 Representative - I suggest a meeting between now and the next voting meeting where we members who want to make line based suggestions can discuss them.
- xiii. Al Mance, PD - In agreement with the suggestion for a meeting before next voting meeting.
- xiv. Donna Linton, Impact Justice - Given the timeline anything that we would ask the subcommittee to vote on would need to be identified by Monday at 12:30 in order to meet Brown Act requirements.
 - xv. Al Mance, PD - I suggest we get into the area of contentions now.
- xvi. Erin Palacios, District 3 Representative - We can find a way to meet Brown Act requirements and get an extra meeting in.
- xvii. Vamsey Palagummi, JJDPC - I suggest moving the vote from October 7th.
- xviii. Interim Chief Dawal, ACPD - If we don't vote on October 7th we won't be able to get the plan to Public Protection on time



5. Phase B Plan - Discussion
 - a. Dani Soto, Impact Justice & Workgroup Leads & Co-Leads
 - i. Please review Alameda County SB 823 Subcommittee Plan Summary
 - b. Interim Chief Dawal, ACPD - The legislation is not clear; the plan has to be submitted by Jan 1 for funding. I support meeting twice a year, in Feb and August.
 - i. Kelly Thompson, District 5 Representative - I would suggest March and Sept. especially for those of us that have children and want to be free in August to be with our families in the final days of the summer break.
 - c. Al Mance, PD - Do we have any idea what the funding will be? How often can we submit revisions to the plan?
 - i. Interim Chief Dawal, ACPD - The funding for the first year was \$1.2 million, year to it's \$3.8 or \$3.9 million, I'm not sure. My understanding is that revisions can be submitted annually on May 1st.
 - d. Vamsey Palagummi, JJDPC - Concern the momentum will be lost if the subcommittee doesn't meet more often.
 - e. Interim Chief Dawal, ACPD - My intention is to have the JJCC meeting regularly. The role of the JJCC needs to be considered.
 - f. Erin Palacios, District 3 Representative - The point is to revise the plan annually as need be.
 - g. Al Mance, PD - Meeting more frequently allows the subcommittee to reach a consensus
 - h. Vamsey Palagummi, JJDPC - The JJCC is not a good substitute for this subcommittee.
 - i. Vamsey Palagummi, JJDPC - Can we get clarification on the type of data is needed.
 - i. Dani Soto, Impact Justice - If you have language suggestions submit it to the entire subcommittee.
 - j. Erin Palacios, District 3 Representative - Data is needed to track reasons for transfer.
 - k. Erin Palacios, District 3 Representative - Regional options need to be committed to Alameda County's standards on trans-youth and girls.
 - l. Erin Palacios, District 3 Representative - We should have an appropriate use of CANS in the planning process.
 - i. Al Mance, PD - At the recommendation of the subject matter experts our workgroup decided it would be best to not be tied to a specific assessment.
 - ii. Erin Palacios, District 3 Representative - For some youth the CANS is required.
 - iii. Donna Linton, Impact Justice - On page 20 of the draft page it indicates what the mental health assessment should include; it was ACBH that pushed for removing the CANS.
 - m. Vamsey Palagummi, JJDPC - Any provider that contracted with ACBH has to use the CANS
 - n. Al Mance, PD - I have no problem with adding the CANS
 - i. Donna Linton, Impact Justice - We should touch base with ACBH
 - o. Michelle Love, ACSS - I agree with Erin. These youth should have a CANS completed.
 - p. Andrea Zambrana, Conflict Counsel - In what context is it required that the CANS be used; what is the population?
 - i. Erin Palacios, District 3 Representative - Young people who cross multiple systems.



- q. Trevor Arceneaux, District 4 Representative - Are we recommending that youth have access to family engagement daily?
 - i. Dani Soto, Impact Justice - Yes.
 - ii. Brian Ford, ACPD - That is the intent when operationalization is feasible.
 - iii. Erin Palacios, District 3 Representative - Staffing should not be considered operational feasible. Jan 1
 - r. Hon. Ursula Jones Dickson, Court Representative - We need to be specific on who youth are contacting as "family members" while they are in custody.
 - i. Al Mance, PD - This can be worked out with the success team.
6. Public Comment
- a. Linda Sanchez, There is a lack of clarity on secure treatment facility in the plan. The out-of-county option in the draft is problematic. How will ACPD ensure that youth are not transferred out of state or county. There is also lack of clarity around programming for young women.
 - b. Rachel Kirkwood, NICJR - I want to ensure ACPD has an equitable process for community members to engage in this process.
 - c. Natasha Mejia, NICJR & FOK - Important aspects of a healing-based model of youth justice are missing. There is an insufficient description of the step-down option, care for girls and gender-expansive youth; the plan also describe the committee's long-term vision of what healing-based model the secure youth treatment facility that will replace JJC; the plan should clearly state there will be no use of peper spray, room confinement, and restraints. I recommend the subcommitte meet quarterly.
 - d. Manuel La Fontaine, Burns Institute & FOK - I appreciate Chief Dawal's statement on reimaging juvenile justice, I encourage Chief Dawal push other partners who are not committed to reimaging juvenile justice.
 - e. Ericson Amaya Bonilla, FOK - I want to reiterate the need for community involvement, and the need for adequate and equal programs for young women.
 - f. Ryant, PPOA - Pepper spray can't be removed without meeting and confer.
 - g. Danny, Justice Reinvestment Coalition & FOK - I hope the subcommittee considers the letter of suggestion sent from FOK.
 - h. Esmeralda Rosales, Eastbay Community Law Center & FOK - Meetings should be quarterly; it just one individual life we are effecting, we are effecting the lives of families and community.
 - i. Yasmin Tager, FOK - If there are no viable options for step-downs a key component of the intent of SB 823 will be missing.
 - j. Linda - The reentry plan is in the right direction; the subcommittee should think about including universal income as part of the reentry plan.
7. Next Step
- a. Interim Chief Dawal, ACPD - Edits should be sent to IJ by EOD tomorrow; the meeting should be longer next week.
 - b. Andrea Zambrana, Conflict Counsel - I strongly disagree with the process and abject.



- c. Brian Ford, ACPD - Is the content of what the workgroups worked on in the draft plan?
 - i. Dani Soto, Impact Justice - The content of what the workgroups developed is in the draft document.
 - ii. Andrea Zambrana, Conflict Counsel - I suggest having until have EOD Monday to submit edits.
 - d. Al Mance, PD - We will need longer than by EOD tomorrow.
 - e. Erin Palacios, District 3 Representative - I suggest a meeting in between next Thursday's meeting.
 - f. Andrea Zambrana, Conflict Counsel - Motion to addition meeting on Wednesday, regular meeting on Thursday, asking public protection for a special meeting in December
 - i. Scott Dickey, County Counsel - The subcommittee currently does not have a quorum. The motion can not be voted on.
8. Meeting Adjourned at 3:17 pm